

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/020,091	SANTILLI, RUGGERO MARIA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kishor Mayekar	1753	

All Participants:

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(2) Dennis LaPointe.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 33 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

Smalley et al. (5,227,038)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: in view of recent reference to Smalley '038, the Attorney authorizes the examiner to add the limitation of claim 10 into claim 1 and claim 42 into claim 33, to replace the term "capable of delivering" in claims 1 and 33 with --configured to deliver-- to definite define the claimed subject matter, and to cancel claims 10 and 42 by an examiner's amendment..